UNDERSTORY PLANT COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO SEASON OF BURN IN NATURAL LONGLEAF PINE FORESTS

John S. Kush and Ralph S. Meldahl

School of Forestry, 108 M. White Smith Hall, Auburn University, AL 36849

William D. Boyer

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, 520 Devall Street, Auburn, AL 36849

ABSTRACT

A season of burn study was initiated in 1973 on the Escambia Experimental Forest, near Brewton, Alabama. All study plots were established in 14-year-old longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) stands. Treatments consisted of biennial burns in winter, spring, and summer, plus a no-burn check. Objectives of the current study were to determine composition and structure of understory plant communities after 22 years of seasonal burning, identify changes since last sampling in 1982, and assess the structure of the communities that stabilized under each treatment regime. There were 114 species on biennial winter-burned plots, compared to 104 on spring- and summer-burned and 84 with no burning. The woody understory biomass (<1 centimeter diameter at breast height) increased with all treatments compared with 1982. Grass and legume biomass increased with winter and spring burning. Forb biomass decreased across treatments.

keywords: biomass, longleaf pine, Pinus palustris, plant response, prescribed fire, south Alabama, understory.

Citation: Kush, J.S., R.S. Meldahl, and W.D. Boyer. 2000. Understory plant community response to season of burn in natural longleaf pine forests. Pages 32–39 in W. Keith Moser and Cynthia F. Moser (eds.). Fire and forest ecology: innovative silviculture and vegetation management. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, No. 21. Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

INTRODUCTION

Prior to the arrival of European settlers in the United States, natural communities dominated by longleaf pine and maintained by periodic fire occurred throughout most of the southern Coastal Plain (Frost 1993). Bartram (1791), an early traveler through the Southeast, wrote about these communities: "This plain is mostly a forest of the great long-leaved pine (*P. palustris* Linn.), the earth covered with grass, interspersed with an infinite variety of herbaceous plants, and embellished with extensive savannahs, always green, sparkling with ponds of water . . ."

The landscape that Bartram and early settlers encountered was largely the result of frequent fire. Low-intensity, non-lethal fires swept through the presettlement longleaf savannas at intervals ranging from 1–10 years (Mattoon 1922, Chapman 1932, Christensen 1981). These fires were ignited by a combination of lightning strikes (Komarek 1974) and aboriginal incendiarism (Robbins and Myers 1992). The frequent fires had an incredible impact on the flora of the long-leaf landscape. A mesic longleaf woodland may contain 140 vascular species per 1,000 square meters, the largest values reported for the temperate Western Hemisphere (Peet and Allard 1993).

It has been well documented that in the absence of frequent burning, the diverse ground cover of the longleaf landscape is replaced by hardwood trees and shrubs (Christensen 1981, Streng and Harcombe 1982). The importance of frequent burning in these systems has been realized, but questions have arisen concerning season of burn. Komarek (1964) and Rob-

bins and Meyers (1992) concluded that most lightningignited fires occurred during the growing season. However, much of the prescribed burning done in the Southeast is conducted during the winter. Among the reasons are the cooler temperatures associated with that time of year, more predictable winds, and potentially less damage to the trees and regeneration.

Streng et al. (1993) provided an excellent literature review of research studies evaluating the effects of season of burn in longleaf pine forests. One of these studies came from research conducted on long-term burning plots located in south Alabama (Boyer 1983, 1987). Research was begun in 1973 to determine the effects of understory hardwood control treatments on the growth of overstory longleaf pine and development of understory vegetation. One of the original study objectives was to follow understory plant succession under different seasonal burning regimes. Boyer (1995) reported on responses of ground cover before treatment and 7 and 9 years after treatments. Although treatments have continued, lack of resources prevented sampling understory vegetation after 1982. This study, a continuation of Boyer's earlier work, examines effects of 23 years of different seasonal biennial burns (or no burn) on ground cover vegetation in natural longleaf pine forests.

STUDY AREA

The study was conducted at the Escambia Experimental Forest in south central Escambia County, Alabama (31°01'N; 87°04'W). The forest is maintained by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,

	Stand Basal Area (square			BIOMAS: (kilograms per l	S	
Year	meters per — hectare)	Woody	Herbaceous	Total	Organic litter	Total
1973	6.9	508.5	422.2	930.7	8,310.4	9,241.1
1980	13.8	698 .9	483.8	1,182.7	10,759.8	11,942.5
1982	17.2	636 .6	387.5	1,023.7	9,255.7	10,279.4
1996	22.2	96 6.6	99.3	1,065.9	20,333.9	21,399.8

Table 1. Ground cover biomass changes with time (all plots) from a 23-year-old season of burn study in south Alabama.

Southern Research Station, in cooperation with T.R. Miller Mill Company.

The climate is humid and mild with plentiful rainfall well distributed throughout the year. The warmest months are July and August with average daily maximum and minimum temperatures of 33° and 20°C, respectively. The coldest months are December and January with average daily temperatures of 18° and 3°C, respectively. The growing season is 250 days. Annual precipitation averages 156 centimeters. October is the driest month.

The predominant soil series on this coastal plain site was the Troup (Grossarenic Paleudult, loamy siliceous thermic) with some Wagram, Dothan, and Fuquay represented. These soils formed in unconsolidated marine sediments of loamy sands, sandy loams, and sandy clay loams. They are very low in natural fertility and organic-matter content.

METHODS

Boyer (1987, 1991, 1993, 1994, 1995) described the establishment, methods, and treatment regimes for this study. Treatments consisted of biennial burns in winter, spring, and summer, plus a no-burn check. Each burning treatment was combined with 3 supplemental hardwood control treatments: chemical injection of all hardwood stems in 1973, hand-clearing of all hardwood stems >1.3 meters in height in 1973 and periodically thereafter as needed, and an untreated check. The study area had not been burned since 1962, so all season of burn plots were first given a winter conditioning burn in January 1974. Boyer reported on effects of treatments on growth of the longleaf pine overstory (1987, 1994); the effects of a single chemical treatment, with and without fire, on development of woody vegetation (1991); and development of hardwoods in relation to season of biennial burns (1993).

In late September-early October 1996, living material <1 centimeter diameter at breast height (DBH) was destructively sampled from 9 0.893-square meter sample plots per treatment plot to coincide with the sampling done in 1982. The vegetation was sorted by species using the taxonomy of several authorities (Grelen and Duvall 1966, Radford et al. 1968, Clewell 1985, Godfrey 1988, Kartesz 1994). It was oven-dried at 70°C for 72 hours and weighed. Organic litter was collected from 1 30.5-square centimeter subplot within each of these sample plots, dried, and weighed. The winter season burn was last conducted in February

1996, the spring in May 1995, and the summer in July 1995.

Information on understory composition and biomass by components was compared to the earlier results from this study. The number of species, species frequency and biomass, and percent biomass were examined among the different season of burns.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ground cover biomass was highly variable and changed substantially over the last 23 years. Estimates of biomass by year and component (type) are given in Table 1 (this is an update of Table 1 from Boyer 1995). In terms of average weights, as stand age and basal area increased over time, the organic litter component increased greatly, the woody component increased slightly, and herbaceous component declined. We hypothesize this large increase in organic litter may be due to 2 major factors. The first factor concerns the decrease between 1980 and 1982. The lower 1982 litter weight might have been the result of a hotter than normal fire or lower than normal litter fall prior to sampling. The dramatic increase in litter weight from 1982 to 1996 might also be due to a major increase in the amount of woody debris added to the organic litter over the last 14 years of the study. Some of the debris may have been added during the 1990 thinning operation. The analysis of raw biomass weights indicated that after 23 years of constant burning regimes, equilibrium had not been reached on the plots.

An examination of the biomass data by burning treatment suggested some consistent trends from 1982–1996 (Table 2). Woody, total green, and organic litter components increased for all burning treatments while the forb component uniformly decreased. Legumes, a potentially important factor for wildlife food and maintaining or improving site quality, decreased on the no-burn treatments. Legume biomass increased with the winter burning treatment, remained the same with the spring burning treatment, but decreased with summer burning where low values in 1982 declined further in 1996. Grass biomass did not show any consistent trends. Organic litter was the largest component of understory biomass and increased substantially for all burning treatments.

One hundred forty-three species were identified in ground cover in the early autumn sampling (Table 3). The lowest number of species occurred on the no-burn treatment and the highest on the winter burn. The high-

KUSH ET AL.

Table 2. Effect of burning treatments on understory biomass (kilograms per hectare) in 1982 and 1996 and percent change (%) from 1982–1996 from a 23-year-old season of burn study in south Alabama.

Component	1982	1996	Percent change
			9
No Burn			
Woody	1,180.5	2,027.7	+71.8
Grasses	43.7	10.6	-75.7
Forbs	68.3	13.1	-80.8
Legumes	4.5	2.0	-55.6
Total Green	1,297.0	2,05 3.4	+58.3
Litter	16,874.0	42,778.2	+153.5
Total Biomass	18,171.0	44 ,83 1.6	+146.7
Winter			
Woody	385.3	57 1.3	+48.3
Grasses	199.4	207.5	+4.1
Forbs	327.0	184.0	-43.7
Legumes	14.6	24.7	+69.2
Total Green	926.3	987.5	+6.6
Litter	4,560.6	12,382.0	+171.5
Total Biomass	5,486.9	13,369.5	+143.7
Spring			
Woody	509.6	611.9	+20.1
Grasses	172.5	179.8	+4.2
Forbs	183.7	182.7	-0.5
Legumes	17.9	18.1	+1.1
Total Green	883.7	992.5	+12.3
Litter	8,059.5	12,814.0	+59.0
Total Biomass	8,943.2	13,806.5	+54.4
Summer			
Woody	471.5	655.6	+39.0
Grasses	177.0	112.4	-36.5
Forbs	333.8	240.7	-27.9
Legumes	6.7	3.9	-41.8
Total Green	989.0	1,012.6	+2.4
Litter	7,557.8	13,361.5	+76.8
Total Biomass	8,546.8	14,374.1	+68.2

est number of woody species and lowest number of herbaceous species were associated with the no-burn treatment. The spring and summer burning treatments had the lowest number of woody species but were not all that different from the winter and no burn even after 23 years of biennial burning treatments.

The burning treatments were examined for the most common species, by component, in the ground cover (Appendix 1). These species' biomass and percent total biomass were determined for each burning treatment (Appendix 2).

Woody Species

Inkberry (*Ilex glabra*), dwarf huckleberry (*Gaylussacia dumosa*), and blackberry (*Rubus* spp.) were the most common species, each occurring on >30% of all plots. The predominant woody component in the no-burn treatment was shrubs and vines. Yellow jessamine (*Gelsemium sempervirens*) and inkberry were the dominant species, totaling 61% of the biomass. Blackberry and several greenbrier species (*Smilax* spp.) were frequent within this treatment but accounted for very little of the biomass. The frequency and biomass of potential overstory tree species were very low. Response to the burning treatments was variable. Ink-

Table 3. Number of species from a 23-year-old season of burn study in south Alabama by vegetation component harvested in autumn 1996 for each burning treatment.

Component	No burn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Total
Woody	40	35	32	32	48
Forb	25	47	40	42	56
Grass	10	16	13	16	20
Legume	9	16	19	14	19
Total	84	114	104	104	143

berry dominated the summer burn plots, accounting for 69% of the biomass. Summer burning reduced the frequency and biomass of vines and potential overstory species compared to the other burning treatments. One outlier was weak-leaf yucca (Yucca flaccida) on 1 plot, accounting for 6% of the biomass. Responses to the winter and spring burns were similar. Inkberry constituted 34% and 37%, respectively, of the biomass. Vines accounted for another 22% and 27%. The oaks (Quercus spp.) contributed to the biomass of each burning treatment, but their frequency was low and they did not dominate any plots.

Forbs

Frequency of species was very low on the no-burn plots. Queen's root (Stillingia sylvatica), mallow (Hibiscus aculeatus), and bushy aster (Aster dumoses) and white-topped aster (A. tortifolius) were the most common species on these plots. These species accounted for 70% of the total forb biomass with 47% of that total belonging to mallow. Several species were very frequent on the plots treated with fire. Golden aster (Chrysopsis mariana), a member of the Composite family, was the major species found within the burning treatments, accounting for approximately 28% of the winter burn biomass, 25% of the summer burn, and 46% of the spring burn. Species in this family (members of the genera Aster, Carphephorus, Cirsium, Coreopsis, Elephantopus, Erechtites, Eupatorium, Gnaphalium, Helenium, Helianthus, Hieracium, Ionactis, Liatris, Lobelia, Rudbeckia, Silphium, Solidago, Veronia) constituted 84%, 85%, and 70% of the forb biomass in winter, spring, and summer burning treatments, respectively, and 28% in the no-burn treatment. Deer's-tongue (Carphephorus odoratissimus) was the other major component within the winter and summer burning treatments. There was an obvious absence of southern bracken (Pteridium aquilinium) on the firetreated plots. Several dried stems were noted, but very little living material was left at the time of sampling.

Legumes

The predominant legumes were partridge-pea (Chamaecrista fasciculata), pencil flower (Stylosanthes biflora), and milk-pea (Galactia erecta) across all burning treatments. Legumes rarely occurred on the no-burn plots and were present on ≤8% of the sample plots. Butterfly-pea (Clitoria mariana), and milk-pea were the dominant species on the winter burn plots, accounting for >50% of the legume biomass. On the

spring burn plots, milk-pea was the major species, along with several species of beggar's lice (Desmodium spp.), and pencil flower. Several species were prevalent on the summer burn plots. Dollarleaf rhynchosia (Rhynchosia reniformis), occurring on only 12% of the plots, constituted 27% of the legume biomass. Other important species were beggar's lice (Desmodium strictum), and pencil flower. It is unknown why the frequency and biomass of the legumes in the summer-burned treatment were lower compared to the other burning treatments. It may be that sampling during the spring or summer would have produced more legume biomass.

Grasses

The major grass species across all burning treatments was slender bluestem (Schizachyrium tenerum). It was the most frequently occurring species and accounted for ≥60% of the biomass for all treatments. Arrowfeather three-awn (Aristida purpurascens), downy oatgrass (Danthonia sericea), and beaked panicum (Panicum anceps) were the other common grasses. These four species accounted for 84%, 91%, 91%, and 82% of the grass biomass on the no-burn, winter, spring, and summer burn treatments.

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Several significant changes have occurred in response to different seasonal burns in a 1974 longleaf pine understory plant community study last reported in 1982. Woody biomass and litter had increased in the no-burn treatment while the grass, forb, and legume component decreased. The biomass of the woody and legume component substantially increased in the winter burning treatments while the forb component decreased. There was very little change with the spring burning treatment except for an increase in the woody component. Summer burns resulted in substantial decreases to all components except for the woody species.

If forest managers are interested in species diversity, biennial winter burning had 114 species compared to 104 for both spring and summer fires. If the goal is to produce the most biomass in legumes for wildlife food, winter fires produced 27% and 84% more legume biomass than did spring and summers fires, respectively. There was very little difference in total biomass between the burning treatments if controlling competition or fuel reduction is the major management goal. Boyer (this volume) discussed the long-term effects of these biennial burns on the growth of longleaf pine in this study.

This study was conducted in the late summer-early autumn, which corresponded to the timing of ground cover sampling in 1982. It remains to be seen what differences there might be if the sampling were conducted during the spring or summer. The results indicate winter burning may be just as beneficial for any management decisions as growing-season burns.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank several individuals for their efforts. G. Ward, B. Thompson, R. Sampson, N. Gupta, J. Rayamajhi, W. Williams, and D. Shaw provided many hours of "back-breaking" assistance in the field and laboratory. V. Crouch and N. Maceina provided assistance with plant identification, and L. Pitt spent many hours doing data entry. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service provided funding.

LITERATURE CITED

Bartram, W. 1791. Travels through North and South Carolina, Georgia, East and West Florida. Dover Publications (reprinted 1955), New York.

Boyer, W.D. 1983. Growth of young longleaf pine as affected by biennial burns plus chemical or mechanical treatments for competition control. Pages 62–65 in E.P. Jones, Jr. (ed.). Proceedings of the second biennial Southern silvicultural research conference. General Technical Report SE-24, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, Asheville, NC.

Boyer, W.D. 1987. Volume growth loss: a hidden cost of periodic burning in longleaf pine? Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 11:154-157.

Boyer, W.D. 1991. Effects of a single chemical treatment on long-term hardwood development in a young pine stand. Pages 599–606 in S.S. Coleman and D.G. Neary (compilers). Proceedings of the sixth biennial Southern silvicultural research conference. General Technical Report SE-70, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, Asheville, NC.

Boyer, W.D. 1993. Season of burn and hardwood development in young longleaf pine stands. Pages 511-515 in J.C. Brissette (ed.). Proceedings of the seventh biennial Southern silvicultural research conference. General Technical Report SO-93, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, New Orleans.

Boyer, W.D. 1994. Eighteen years of seasonal burning in longleaf pine: effects on overstory growth. Pages 602-610 in Proceedings of the 12th international conference on fire and forest meteorology. Society of American Foresters, Bethesda, MD.

Boyer, W.D. 1995. Responses of groundcover under longleaf pine to biennial seasonal burning and hardwood control. Pages 512-516 in M.B Edwards (compiler). Proceedings of the eighth biennial Southern silvicultural research conference. General Technical Report SRS-1, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Asheville, NC.

Chapman, H.H. 1932. Is the longleaf type a climax? Ecology 13:328-334.

Christensen, N. L. 1981. Fire regimes in southeastern ecosystems. Pages 112-136 in H.A. Mooney, T.M. Bonnicksen, N.L. Christensen, J.E. Lotan, and W.A. Reinsers (eds.). Fire regimes and ecosystem properties. General Technical Report WO-26, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington Office, Washington, DC.

Clewell, A.F. 1985. Guide to the vascular plants of the Florida Panhandle. Florida State University Press, Tallahassee.

Frost, C.C. 1993. Four centuries of changing landscape patterns in the longleaf pine ecosystem. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings 18:17–44.

Godfrey, R.K. 1988. Trees, shrubs, and woody vines of northern Florida and adjacent Georgia and Alabama. University of Georgia Press, Athens.

Grelen, H.E., and V.L. Duvall. 1966. Common plants of longleaf pine-bluestem range. Research Paper SO-23, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, New Orleans.

Kartesz, J.T. 1994. A synonymized checklist of the vascular flora of the United States, Canada, and Greenland. Timber Press, Portland, OR.

Komarek, E.V. 1964. The natural history of lightning. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings 3:139–183.

Komarek, E.V. 1974. Introduction to lightning ecology. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings 13:421-427.

Mattoon, W.R. 1922. Longleaf pine. U.S. Department of Agriculture Bulletin 1061.

Peet, R.K., and D.J. Allard. 1993. Longleaf pine vegetation of the southern Atlantic and eastern Guil Coast regions: a pre-

Appendix 1. Percent occurrence (%) for species harvested in autumn 1996 for each burning treatment from a 23-year-old season of burn study in south Alabama (n=81).

Species	No burn	Winter	Spring	Sum- mer
Woody				
Acer rubrum	2.47	0	0	0
Berlandiera pumilia	0	17.28	2.47	6.17
Carya pallida	0	1.23	1.23	0
Ceanothus americanus	9.88	19.75	18.52	14.18
Cornus florida	13.58	4.94	1.23	1.23
Diospyros virginiana	4.94	3.70	7.41	8.64
Gaylussacia dumosa	37.04	65.43	39.51	45.68
Gaylussacia frondosa	20.99	24.69	23.46	23.46
Gelsemium sempervirens	76.54	20.99	25.93	8.64
Hypericum crus-andreae	0	1.23	0	0
Hypericum hypercoides	0	18.52	22.22	11.11
Ilex coriacea	1.23	0	0	0
llex decidua	9.88	4.94	4.94	4.94
llex glabra	64.20	34.57	30.86	64.20
llex opaca	1.23	0	1.23	0
Ilex vomitoria	0	0	0	1.23
Licania michauxii	6.17	29.63	13.58	6.17
Liquidambar styraciflua	1.23	0	0	0
Lonicera japonica	16.05	Ó	1.23	0
Magnolia grandiflora	1.23	Ō	0	0
Myrica cerifera	7.40	11.11	18.52	34.57
Nyssa sylvatica	2.47	0	0	0
Pinus palustris	1.23	ō	3.70	0
Prunus paiusins Prunus serotina	1.23	1.23	0	2.47
Quercus falcata	6.17	1.23	1.23	3.70
Quercus incana	4.94	9.88	8.64	2.47
Quercus incaria Quercus laevis	3.70	2.47	0	1.23
Quercus laurifolia	8.64	7.41	2.47	1.23
Quercus nargarattiae	7.40	2.47	1.23	8.64
Quercus marilandica	7.40	18.52	7.41	0
	8.64	7.41	13.58	3.70
Quercus nigra	1.23	20.99	45.68	3.70
Rhus copallina	37.04	79.01	48.15	55.56
Rubus spp.	2.47	9.88	8.64	4.94
Sassafras albidum	1.23	1.23	0.01	1.23
Smilax bona-nox	37.04	13.58	16.05	22.22
Smilax glauca	1.23	0	0	0
Smilax laurifolia	34.58	24.69	13.58	20.99
Smilax pumila	7.41	8.64	3.70	0
Smilax rotundifolia	0	3.70	0	1.23
Symplocos tinctoria	2.47	7.41	16.05	1.23
Toxicodendron pubescens	1.23		0	0
Toxicodendron radicans		0	-	2.47
Vaccinium arboreum	7.41	9.88	1.23	20.99
Vaccinium myrsinites	20.99	14.81	18.52	
Vaccinium stamineum	2.47	1.23	0 4.94	0 2.47
Vaccinium tenellum	0	0		
Vitis rotundifolia	11.11	7.41	0	0
Yucca flaccida	0	0	0	1.23

liminary classification. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings 18:45-81.

Radford, A.E., H.E. Ahles, and C.R. Bell. 1968. Manual of the vascular flora of the Carolinas. University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill.

Robbins, L.E., and R.L. Myers. 1992. Seasonal effects of prescribed fire in Florida: a review. Miscellaneous Publication No. 8, Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Streng, D.R., J.S. Glitzenstein, and W.J. Platt. 1993. Evaluating effects of season of burn in longleaf pine forests: a critical literature review and some results from an ongoing longterm study. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings 18:227-263.

Streng, D.R., and P.A. Harcombe. 1982. Why don't East Texas savannas grow up to forest? The American Midland Naturalist 108:278-294.

Appendix 1. Continued.

Oi	No burn	Mintor	Spring	Sum- mer
Species	NO DUITI	vviillei	Spring	11161
Forbs				
Acalypha gracilens	0	27.16	25.93	19.75
Agalinis filicaulia	0	0	1.23	0
Asclepias amplexicaulis	0	1.23	0	0
Aster adnatus	4.94	27.16	25.93	23.46
Aster concolor	0	2.47	0	0
Aster dumoses	8.64	65.43	38.27	40.74
Aster paludosus	1.23	9.88	12.35	0
Aster patens	1.23	41.98	4.94	18.52
Aster tortifolius	11.11	64.20	39.51	34.57
Aureolaria pectinata	0	1.23	3.70	2.47
Carphephorus odoratissimus	6.17	46.91	45.68	83.95
Chrysopsis gossypina	1.23	14.81	49.38	32.10
Chrysopsis mariana	2.47	72.84	79.01	75.31
Cirsium horridulum	0	1.23	1.23	4.94
Cnidoscolus stimulosus	2.47	4.94	4.94	3.70
Coreopsis major	7.41	40.74	34.58	23.46
Croton argyranthemus	3.70	18.52	38.27	25.93
Dioda teres	0	1.23	0	0
Elephantopus tomentosus	2.47	54.32	56.79	37.04
Erechtites hieracifolia	0	1.23	0	0
Eryngium yuccifolium	0	0	1.23	1.23
Eupatorium album	0	3.70	2.47	1.23
Eupatorium compositifolium	0	4.94	1.23	1.23
Eupatorium pilosum	4.94	13.58	13.58	11.1
Eupatorium rotundifolium	0	0	0	1.23
Euphorbia corollata	1.23	38.27	20.99	28.4
Galium pilosum	0	4.94	2.47	0
Gentiana autumnalis	0	1.23	0	0
Gnaphalium purpureum	0	0	3.70	3.7
Habenaria ciliaris	0	1.23	1.23	3.7
Helenium amarum	1.23	1.23	2.47	0
Helianthus angustifolius	0	0	0	1.2
Hieracium gronovii	0	0	0	1.2
Hibiscus aculeatus	12.35	7.41	18.52	20.9
Houstonia procumbens	1.23	2.47	24.69	22.2
Ionactis linariifolius	1.23	1.23	0	0
Iris verna verna	0	1.23	0	0
Liatris graminifilia	1.23	43.21	19.75	38.2
Liatris squarrosa	1.23	27.16	12.35	11.1
Liatris squarrulosa	0	3.70	1.23	2.4
Lobelia puberula	2.47	27.16	16.05	14.8
Lycopodiella alopecuroides	0	0	1.23	6.1
Monotropa uniflora	0	0	0	1.2
Polygala lutea	0	1.23	0	4.9
Pteridium aquilinum	2.47	17.28	1.23	22.2
Rudbeckia hirta	1.23	37.04	45.68	40.7
Seyemeria cassioides	. 0	6.17	4.94	6.1
Silphium compositum	1.23	14.81	6.17	14.8

Appendix 1. Continued.

Species	No burn	Winter	Spring	Sum- mer
Solidago odora	0	13.58	28.40	24.69
Stellaria media	0	3.70	9.88	6.17
Stillingia sylvatica	37.04	79.01	48.15	60.49
Tragia urens	0	0	0	2.47
Trichostema setaceum	0	1.23	0	0
Veronia angustifolia	0	2.47	0	0
Viola pedata	0	4.94	7.41	3.70
Xyris ambigua	0	2.47	0	0
Legumes				
Centrosema virginianum	0	2.47	3.70	1.23
Chamaecrista fasciculata	2.47	24.69	19.75	1.23
Chamaecrista nictitans	0	4.94	3.70	0
Clitoria mariana	2.47	33.33	22.22	7.40
Crotalaria purshii	1.23	13.58	13.58	3.70
Desmodium ciliare	1.23	0	6.17	1.23
Desmodium marilandicum	0	1.23	4.94	0
Demsodium obtusum	0	0	1.23	0
Desmodium strictum	0	14.81	16.05	4.94
Galactia erecta	3.70	37.04	43.21	14.81
Lespedeza capitata	1.23	6.17	4.94	6.17
Lespedeza hirta	. 0	6.17	3.70	3.70
Lespedeza repens	0	9.88	1.23	4.94
Orbexilum pedunculatum				
psoralidides	0	7.40	4.94	3.70
Rhynchosia reniformis	1.23	22.22	24.69	12.35

Appendix 1. Continued.

Species	No burn	Winter	Spring	Sum- mer
Strophostyles umbellata	0	0	1.23	0
Stylosanthes biflora	7.40	32.10	33.33	25.96
Tephrosia chrysophylla	0	0	1.23	0
Tephrosia spicata	0	1.23	0	0
Tephrosia virginiana	1.23	14.81	16.05	11.11
Grasses				
Andropogon virginicus	1.23	3.70	2.47	8.64
Anthaenantia villosa	1.23	23.46	13.58	25.93
Aristida purpurascens	8.64	48.15	39.51	35.80
Bulbostylis capillaris	0	0	0	1.23
Danthonia sericea	8.64	62.96	50.62	64.20
Gymnopogon ambiguus	1.23	32.10	14.81	14.81
Muhlenbergia capillaris				
trichopodes	0	1.23	0	0
Panicum anceps	11.11	45.68	38.27	33.33
Panicum sphaerocarpon	0	13.58	13.58	19.75
Panicum virgatum	1.23	6.17	20.99	24.69
Paspalum floridanum	2.47	23.46	25.93	14.81
Paspalum laeve	0	0	0	1.23
Schizachyrium scoparium	0	1.23	1.23	0
Schizachyrium tenerum	17.28	87.65	82.72	76.54
Scleria ciliata	0	2.47	0	1.23
Sorghastrum nutans	0	2.47	0	1.23
Sporobolus junceus	0	0	1.23	0
Tridens flavus	0	1.23	0	3.70
Zigadenus densus	1.23	3.70	3.70	4.94

Appendix 2. Dry-weight biomass (kilograms per hectare) and percent total biomass (%) for species harvested in autumn 1996 from a 23-year-old season of burn study in south Alabama. A (—) indicates the species was absent. A (+) indicates biomass was <1% (n = 81).

Species	No burn	Winter	Spring	Summer
Voody				
Acer rubrum	4.36/+		· —	
Berlandiera pumilia	_	2.82/+	0.21/+	0.36/+
Carya pallida		0.37/+	2.49/+	
Ceanothus americanus	1.45/+	8.57/1.5	9.60/1.6	5.8/0.9
	21.57/1.1	20.50/3.6	0.29/+	0.02/+
Cornus florida		6.99/1.2	6.98/1.1	4.70/0.7
Diospyros virginiana	40.99/2.0			
Gaylussacia dumosa	4.70/+	30.34/5.3	8.38/1.4	9.7/1.5
Gaylussacia frondosa	6.76/+	11.57/2.0	9.11/1.5	9.7/1.5
Gelsemium sempervirens	464.37/22.9	19.12/3.3	115.97/19.0	3.9/0.6
Hypericum crus-andreae		0.23/+	*****	
Hypericum hypercoides	-	0.70/+	1.01/+	0.32/+
llex coriacea	0.01/+		-	-
llex decidua	164.90/8.1	0.52/+	0.88/+	0.23/+
	790.08/39.0	195.20/34.2	228.59/37.4	453.60/69.2
llex glabra				455.00/03.2
llex opaca	35.54/1.8	-	0.01/+	0.70/
lex vomitoria	- .			0.76/+
Licania michauxii	2.89/+	47.56/8.3	15.04/2.5	2.04/+
Liquidambar styraciflua	4.08/+	*****		
Lonicera japonica	17.76/+		0.02/+	
Magnolia grandiflora	49.94/2.5	-		******
Magnolia grandiflora	7.48/+	17.59/3.1	9.76/1.6	35.45/5.4
Myrica cerifera			3.70/1.0	
Nyssa sylvatica	19.22/+		0.437	
Pinus palustris	0.23/+		0.43/+	
Prunus serotina	0.13/+	0.02/+		7.59/1.2
Quercus falcata	32.44/1.6	1.02/+	0.22/+	1.50/+
Quercus incana	5.26/+	11.73/2.1	4.9/+	3.47/+
	7.28/+	7.10/1.2		1.68/+
Quercus laevis			0.27/+	0.26/+
Quercus laurifolia	7.72/+	1.56/+		
Quercus margarattiae	8.52/+	61.80/10.8	45.40/7.4	4.85/+
Quercus marilandica	11.14/+	1.90/+	0.72/+	
Quercus nigra	132.76/6.5	0.27/+	1.34/+	0.79/+
Rhus copallina	0.35/+	13.58/2.4	54.57/8.9	1.24/+
Rubus spp.	6.62/+	32.2/5.6	22.95/3.8	24.11/3.7
nuous spp.	1.24/+	3.33/+	20.48/3.3	1.30/+
Sassafras albidum			20.40/0.0	0.19/+
Smilax bona-nox	0.56/+	0.15/+	4.50/	
Smilax glauca	21.38/1.1	1.96/+	4.53/+	9.42/1.4
Smilax laurifolia	1.98/+		-	
Smilax pumila	13.61/+	12.79/2.2	14.98/2.4	10.48/1.6
Smilax rotundifolia	3.09/+	5.32/+	2.49/+	
Symplocos tinctoria		16.84/2.9		10.48/1.6
Toxicodendron pubescens	0.18/+	2.51/+	2.14/+	0.18/+
	0.04/+	2.017		
Toxicodendron radicans		0.60/1.E	0.03/+	0.44/+
Vaccinium arboreum	70.93/3.5	8.60/1.5		
Vaccinium myrsinites	40.72/2.0	23.88/4.2	28.00/4.6	11.11/1.7
Vaccinium stamineum	0.44/+	0.05/+		******
Vaccinium tenellum		*****	0.09/+	0.22/+
Vitis rotundifolia	24.99/1.2	2.55/+		
				39.5/6.0
Yucca flaccida				22.0,0.0
Forbs				
Acalypha gracilens	Seales (SE)	1.58/+	0.45/+	0.82/+
Agalinis filicaulia			0.03/+	
Asclepias amplexicaulis	-	0.02/+	***************************************	
	0.15/1.1	1.48/+	1.30/+	0.62/+
Aster adnatus	0.15/1.1		1.50/ 1	0.02/ +
Aster concolor		0.33/+	0.44/0.5	
Aster dumoses	0.46/3.5	9.37/5.1	6.41/3.5	3.44/1.4
Aster paludosus	0.36/2.8	0.66/+	0.81/+	0.45/+
Aster patens	0.03/+	6.00/3.3	0.83/+	2.62/1.1
Aster tortifolius	0.86/6.6	5.14/2.8	1.60/+	2.52/1.0
		0.06/+	0.24/+	0.05/+
Aureolaria pectinata	0.64/4.0	22.79/12.4	6.81/3.7	49.66/20.
Carphephorus odoratissimus	0.64/4.9			
Chrysopsis gossypina	0.03/+	1.46/+	22.81/12.5	16.99/7.1
Chrysopsis mariana	0.03/+	51.70/28.1	83.57/45.7	59.9/24.9
Cirsium horridulum	_	0.03/+	1.10/+	1.72/+
Cnidoscolus stimulosus	0.02/+	0.04/+	0.11/+	0.04/+
	0.05/+	2.97/1.6	1.90/1.0	1.86/+
Coreopsis major				
Croton argyranthemus	0.29/2.2	1.49/+	3.63/2.0	0.85/+
Dioda teres		0.01/+		*******
Elephantopus tomentosus	0.05/+	18.26/9.9	13.83/7.6	9.87/4.1
Erechtites hieracifolia		1.02/+		
			1.65/+	0.77/+
Eryngium yuccifolium				
Eunotorium olbum	****	1.01/+	0.47/+	0.22/+
Eupatorium album Eupatorium compositifolium		8.49/4.6	0.67/+	0.08/+

Appendix 2. Continued.

Species	No burn	Winter	Spring	Summer
upatorium pilosum	0.82/6.2	4.13/2.2	0.57/+	1.01/+
Eupatorium rotundifolium				0.02/+
uphorbia corollata	0.28/2.1	3.47/1.9	0.79/+	2.04/+
Galium pilosum	_	0.23/+	0.71/+	
Gentiana autumnalis	-	0.23/+		_
Gentiana automnatio Gnaphalium purpureum			0.68/+	0.64/+
	*******	0.45/+	0.01/+	
Habenaria ciliaris	0.10/+	0.05/+	0.11/+	0.34/+
Helenium amarum	0.10/1	0.00/		0.01/+
Helianthus angustifolius				0.07/+
Hieracium gronovii	0.00447.0	1.50/:	12.24/6.7	40.58/16.9
Hibiscus aculeatus	6.20/47.3	1.59/+		0.69/+
Houstonia procumbens	0.04/+	0.07/+	1.42/+	0.09/+
Ionactis linariifolius	0.12/+	0.44/+		
Iris verna verna	******	0.23/+		
Liatris graminifilia	0.01/+	3.69/2.0	0.80/+	2.47/1.0
Liatris squarrosa	0.01/+	4.01/2.2	0.76/+	0.38/+
Liatris squarrulosa		0.21/+	0.05/+	0.07/+
Lobelia puberula	0.46/3.5	2.37/1.3	1.65/+	1.02/+
Lycopodiella alopecuroides		water-	0.43/+	15.99/6.6
Manatana uniflora	******		***************************************	0.05/+
Monotropa uniflora	_	0.01/+	-	0.50/+
Polygala lutea	0.23/1.7	6.92/3.8	0.03/+	2.16/+
Pteridium aquilinum	0.23/1.7	3.14/1.7	7.92/4.3	5.26/2.2
Rudbeckia hirta	U.U3/ T	0.58/+	1.18/+	1.52/+
Seyemeria cassioides	_		0.84/+	8.18/3.4
Silphium compositum	0.14/1.1	8.13/4.4		1.80/+
Solidago odora	—	1.09/+	2.18/1.2	
Stellaria media		0.02/+	0.17/+	0.05/+
Stillingia sylvatica	1.70/13.0	6.52/3.5	1.94/1.1	3.27/1.4
Tragia urens			MANAGEMENT .	0.01/+
Trichostema setaceum		0.03/+	MARKET TO THE PARTY OF THE PART	
Veronia angustifolia		0.02/+	-	
Viola pedata		0.07/+	0.02/+	0.03/+
	MACHINENA.	2.40/1.3		
Xyris ambigua				
Legumes		0.04/+	0.33/1.8	0.01/+
Centrosema virginianum	0.07/40.5			0.03/+
Chamaecrista fasciculata	0.27/13.5	2.14/8.6	0.98/5.4	0.03/ +
Chamaecrista nictitans		0.11/+	0.02/+	
Clitoria mariana	0.01/+	6.88/27.9	1.28/7.1	0.06/1.6
Crotalaria purshii	0.02/1.2	1.13/4.6	0.95/5.3	0.25/6.4
Desmodium ciliare	0.15/7.5		0.84/4.6	0.01/+
Desmodium marilandicum		0.10/+	2.06/11.4	
Demsodium obtusum			0.01/+	Name and Address of the Address of t
Desmodium strictum		2.39/9.7	1.39/7.7	0.63/16.2
	1.26/62.8	6.09/24.7	5.87/32.6	0.48/12.3
Galactia erecta	0.02/1.0	0.08/+	0.05/+	0.08/2.0
Lespedeza capitata	0.02/1.0	1.76/7.1	0.70/3.9	0.10/2.5
Lespedeza hirta		0.13/+	0.01/+	0.02/+
Lespedeza repens			0.01/+	0.26/6.8
Orbexilum pedunculatum psoralidides	0.00/4.5	1.05/4.3		
Rhynchosia reniformis	0.03/1.5	0.60/2.4	0.80/4.4	1.06/27.3
Strophostyles umbellata			0.24/1.3	<u> </u>
Stylosanthes biflora	0.18/8.8	0.88/3.6	1.70/9.4	0.41/10.
Tephrosia chrysophylla	_		0.01/+	******
Tephrosia spicata		0.10/+		
Tephrosia virginiana	0.07/3.3	1.23/5.0	0.74/4.1	0.50/12.
•				
Grasses	0.06/0.4	1.03/+	1.30/+	3.11/2.8
Andropogon virginicus	0.36/3.4		1.57/+	4.86/4.3
Anthaenantia villosa	0.28/2.7	3.28/1.6		
Aristida purpurascens	0.25/2.4	16.86/8.1	23.42/13.0	10.14/9.0
Bulbostylis capillaris	-			0.53/+
Danthonia sericea	0.81/7.6	17.30/8.3	8.90/4.9	8.56/7.6
Gymnopogon ambiguus	0.01/+	3.74/1.8	1.7/+	0.70/+
Muhlenbergia capillaris trichopodes	water.	0.72/+		
Panicum anceps	1.02/9.6	5.80/2.8	9.00/5.0	4.94/4.4
Panicum anceps Panicum sphaerocarpon		1.79/+	1.58/+	2.61/2.3
	0.23/2.2	0.59/+	3.78/2.1	4.61/4.1
Panicum virgatum		6.77/3.3	5.52/3.1	1.19/1.1
Paspalum floridanum	0.75/7.1	0.1110.0	J.JE/J. I	0.85/+
Paspalum laeve	Withhouse	0.001	0.47/:	
Schizachyrium scoparium		0.02/+	0.17/+	
Schizachyrium tenerum	6.80/64.2	148.30/71.5	121.98/67.8	68.67/61.
Scleria ciliata	******	0.05/+	*****	0.11/+
Sorghastrum nutans	*********	0.61/+		0.96/+
Sporobolus junceus	***************************************		0.13/+	
Tridens flavus	*****	0.25/+	_	0.12/+
Zigadenus densus	0.09/+	0.41/+	0.78/+	0.38/+